C1	0.0829 (2)	0.4325 (2)	0.30851 (11)	0.0428 (5)
C2	0.2063 (2)	0.3707 (2)	0.33265 (11)	0.0445 (5)
C3	0.2916 (2)	0.4784 (2)	0.33683 (12)	0.0526 (5)
C4	0.4344 (3)	0.4912 (4)	0.3584 (3)	0.0853 (10)
C5	0.2378 (2)	0.2258 (2)	0.35330(12)	0.0500 (5)
C6	0.1431 (3)	0.0036(3)	0.3708 (2)	0.0839 (9)
C7	0.0101 (5)	-0.0499 (5)	0.3702 (5)	0.152 (3)
C8	-0.0474 (2)	0.3649 (2)	0.29457 (11)	0.0435 (5)
C9	-0.0960 (2)	0.3308 (2)	0.21868 (12)	0.0468 (5)
C10	-0.0335 (2)	0.3707 (2)	0.15184 (13)	0.0566 (6)
C11	-0.0842 (3)	0.3325 (3)	0.08003 (15)	0.0679 (7)
C12	-0.1978 (3)	0.2492 (3)	0.0703 (2)	0.0750 (8)
C13	-0.2604 (3)	0.2103 (3)	0.1314 (2)	0.0693 (7)
C14	-0.2149 (2)	0.2515 (2)	0.20774 (13)	0.0537 (6)
C15	-0.2826 (2)	0.2179 (2)	0.27087 (14)	0.0586 (6)
C16	-0.2382(2)	0.2572 (2)	0.34538(13)	0.0510 (5)
C17	-0.3089 (2)	0.2259 (3)	0.4109 (2)	0.0638 (7)
C18	-0.2626 (2)	0.2627 (3)	0.4829 (2)	0.0683 (7)
C19	-0.1416 (2)	0.3325 (3)	0.49531 (15)	0.0632 (6)
C20	-0.0712 (2)	0.3641 (2)	0.43541 (12)	0.0527 (5)
C21	-0.1166 (2)	0.3299 (2)	0.35788 (12)	0.0457 (5)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	-	-	
01N1	1.421 (2)	C1C8	1.489 (3)
O2C5	1.199 (2)	C2C5	1.469 (3)
O3C5	1.327 (2)	C3C4	1.487 (3)
O3C6	1.451 (3)	C6C7	1.459 (5)
N1C1	1.306 (3)		
C5—O3—C6	117.8 (2)	O3C5C2	110.8 (2)
C2C1C8	128.9 (2)	O3C6C7	106.5 (3)
C3C5	125.8 (2)	C21C8C1	119.1 (2)
C1C2C5	129.6 (2)	C9C8C1	119.7 (2)
O2C5C2	125.0 (2)		
C6-03C5-02	5.5 (4)	C5-03-C6-C7	167.7 (4)
C6-03C5C2	-172.9 (2)	N1C1C8C21	-101.2 (2)
C3-C2-C5-O2	0.1 (3)	C2-C1C8C21	78.2 (3)
C1-C2-C5-02	-176.1 (2)	N1C1C8C9	82.1 (2)
C3-C2-C5-03	178.6 (2)	C2C1C8C9	-98.5 (2)
C1C2C5O3	2.4 (3)		

The raw data file obtained from the reflection frames contains directional cosines and was used as the ψ -data file for the absorption correction. The measured intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1995). Cell refinement: *SMART*. Data reduction: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1995). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993*a*). Molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1993*b*). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL-Plus*.

The single crystal CCD X-ray facility at the University of Idaho was established with the assistance of NSF–EPSCoR program under NSF OSR-9350539 and the M. J. Murdock Charitable Trust, Vancouver, WA.

References

- Bialer, M., Yagen, B. & Mechoulam, R. (1978). Tetrahedron, 34, 2389-2391.
- Campana, C. F., Shepard, D. F. & Litchman, W. M. (1980). Inorg. Chem. 20, 4039-4044.

© 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- McKenna, J. I., Schlicksupp, L., Natale, N. R., Willett, R. D., Maryanoff, B. E. & Flaim, S. F. (1988). J. Med. Chem. 31, 473– 476.
- Mosher, M. D. & Natale, N. R. (1995a). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 32, 779-781.
- Mosher, M. D. & Natale, N. R. (1995b). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 32, 1385-1387.
- Niou, C. S. & Natale, N. R. (1986). Heterocycles, 24, 401-412.
- Nishiwaki, E., Lee, H., Matsumoto, T., Toyooka, K., Sakurai, H. & Shibuya, M. (1990). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **31**, 1299–1302.
- Schauer, C. K., Anderson, O. P., Natale, N. R. & Quincy, D. A. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 884–886.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993a). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993b). SHELXTL-Plus. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1995). SMART and SAINT Area-Detector Control and Integration Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Smith, M. P., Mirzaei, Y. R., Natale, N. R., Scott, B. & Willett, R. D. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1328–1330.
- Turchin, K. F., Grokhovskii, S. L., Zhuze, A. L. & Gottikh, B. P. (1978). Bioorg. Khim. 4, 1065–1077.
- Verner, E. J., Oliver, B. J., Schlicksupp, L. & Natale, N. R. (1990). *Heterocycles*, **31**, 327–339.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2515-2520

Monospiroaryloxyphosphazenes: *p*-Fluorophenoxy Derivatives Containing the 1,2-Phenylenedioxy and 2,3-Naphthalenedioxy Groups

Ashwani Vij, Richard J. Staples, Robert L. Kirchmeier and Jean'ne M. Shreeve*

Department of Chemistry, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844-2343, USA. E-mail: jshreeve@uidaho.edu

(Received 15 February 1996; accepted 7 May 1996)

Abstract

The reactions of p-FC₆H₄OSiMe₃ with N₃P₃F₄X [where X is either 1,2-O₂C₆H₄ or 2,3-O₂C₁₀H₆] provide a convenient route to fully substituted phosphazenes, N₃P₃(p-FC₆H₄O)₄X. The X-ray structures of the monospiro phosphazene derivatives of 1,2benzenediol [4,4,6,6-tetrakis(4-fluorophenoxy)-2,2-(1,2phenylenedioxy)-1,3,5,2,4,6-cyclophosphazene, (1), C₃₀H₂₀F₄N₃O₆P₃] and 2,3-naphthalenediol [4,4,6,6tetrakis(4-fluorophenoxy)-2,2-(1,2-naphthalenedioxy)-1,-3,5,2,4,6-cyclophosphazene, (2), C₃₄H₂₂F₄N₃O₆P₃] contain an almost planar N₃P₃ core which lies perpendicular to the planar five-membered spiro group. The F atoms of the phenoxy groups are involved in the for-

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1222). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

mation of weak intermolecular hydrogen bonds giving rise to dimeric networks in (1) and three-dimensional networks in (2).

Comment

A large number of structural investigations has been carried out on cyclic phosphazenes containing an aromatic spirocyclic substituent (Chandrasekhar, Murlidhara & Selvraj, 1990). All of the structures that involve the 1,2-benzenediol and 2,3-naphthalenediol groups contain only a trispirophosphazene moiety. These compounds are known to form channel-type clathrates with a variety of guest molecules, namely aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons, halocarbons, nitriles, esters, ketones, water, CS₂, etc. (Allcock, 1984). The structures of tris(1,2-phenylenedioxy)cyclotriphosphazene (TPDOCTP) with benzene, o- and p-xylene, mesitylene (Allcock, 1984) and water (Allcock, Levine & Whittle, 1986), and of tris(2,3-naphthalenedioxy)cyclotriphosphazene (TNDOCTP) with benzene (Allcock & Stein, 1974), p-xylene (Kubono, Asaka, Isoda, Kobavashi & Taga, 1993) and p-chlorotoluene (Kubono, Asaka, Taga, Isoda & Kobayashi, 1994) have been reported. Recently, the X-ray structures of guest-free TPDOCTP (Allcock, Levine & Whittle, 1986) and TNDOCTP (Kubono, Asaka, Isoda, Kobayashi & Taga, 1994) have been elucidated.

The synthesis of monospiro 1,2-phenylenedioxycyclophosphazene has been problematic owing to degradation of the reaction products (Allcock & Kugel, 1966). A synthetic methodology involving 1,2-bis-(trimethylsilyl)benzenediol and excess N₃P₃F₆ affords the first examples of structurally characterized fluorocyclophosphazenes, *i.e.* monospiro N₃P₃F₄(1,2-O₂C₆H₄) (3), dispiro N₃P₃F₂(1,2-O₂C₆H₄)₂ (4) and monospiro N₃P₃F₄(2,3-O₂C₁₀H₆) (5) (Vij, Geib, Kirchmeier & Shreeve, 1996). We present here the structure determinations of 4,4,6,6-tetrakis(4-fluorophenoxy)-2,2-(1,2phenylenedioxy)-1,3,5,2,4,6-cyclophosphazene, (1), and 4,4,6,6-tetrakis(4-fluorophenoxy)-2,2-(1,2-naphthalenedioxy)-1,3,5,2,4,6-cyclophosphazene, (2).



The displacement ellipsoid plot with the atomic numbering scheme for (1) is shown in Fig. 1. Analysis of the structural data shows that unlike in the parent

compound (3), the N_3P_3 core is distorted from perfect planarity. The N1 and P1 atoms show maximum deviations of -0.055(1) and 0.060(1) Å, respectively, from the r.m.s. deviation of 0.041 Å for the N₃P₃ core; this is greater than that found in compound (4) [r.m.s. deviation 0.0145, N1 0.0208 and P1 0.0294 Å]. The remaining atoms in compound (1), N2, P2, N3 and P3, deviate by 0.042(1), 0.003(1), -0.015(1) and -0.036(1) Å, respectively. The five-membered spirocyclic PO_2C_2 ring lies roughly perpendicular to the N_3P_3 ring [88.6(1)°] and is slightly bent at the O atoms as is evident from the torsion angle values of 3.0(3)° for P1-O1-C1-C2 and $-4.6(3)^{\circ}$ for P1—O2—C2—C1. Compound (3) belongs to the Imma space group, with atoms P1 and N1 lying at the intersection of two mirror planes which results in a planar PO_2C_2 ring. The distortion of the 1,2-phenylenedioxy groups at the O atoms appears to be a function of the number of spirocyclic groups on the N_3P_3 ring. In compound (4), which contains two spiro groups, the PO₂C₂ rings show greater P-O-C—C torsion-angle values of 4.1 (4) and $-5.9 (4)^{\circ}$ at P1, and 5.9 (5) and -6.5 (5)° at P2, whereas in trispiro $N_3P_3(1,2-O_2C_6H_4)_3$ (Allcock, Levine & Whittle, 1986), the average values are even greater at ~ 7 , ~ 14 and $\sim 15^{\circ}$.



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of compound (1) showing the labeling scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

In the case of $N_3P_3(p-FC_6H_4O)_6$, the aryl rings are stacked roughly perpendicular to the N_3P_3 ring. For compound (1), the mean planes of the fluoroaryloxy and N_3P_3 rings make dihedral angles of 90.0(1) for C7-C12 and 101.5(1)° for C13-C18 (bonded to P2), and 104.3 (1) for C19--C24 and 63.0 (1)° for C25--C30 (bonded to P3). These values are greater than those found in $N_3P_3(2,2'-O_2C_{12}H_8)(p-FC_6H_4)_4$ (Vij, Geib, Kirchmeier & Shreeve, 1996) owing to the presence of the less sterically demanding (vis- \dot{a} -vis 2,2'-biphenoxy) 1,2-phenylenedioxy group. The conformations of the fluoroaryloxy rings on P2 and P3 are seen from the torsion angles C7-03-P2-04 -175.1 (2), C13-04-P2-O3 - 172.2(2), C19-O5-P3-O6 - 177.5(2)and C25-O6-P3-O5 70.0 (2)°. This difference in stacking behavior results in a mean-plane angle between rings C7-C12 and C13-C18 of 11.7 (1)° versus a meanplane angle between rings C19--C24 and C25--C30 of 52.1 (1)°. The packing diagram of (1) (Fig. 2) shows the longest intermolecular contact, H3...F28ⁱ [symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z] of 2.64 (3) Å, resulting in dimer formation.



Fig. 2. Drawing of the packing of (1) showing the $H \cdots F$ interactions within the cell.

The molecular structure of compound (2) is shown in Fig. 3. Replacement of the 1,2-phenylenedioxy group in (1) with the 2,3-naphthalenedioxy group results in a lower distortion of the N₃P₃ ring. The maximum deviation occurs for the N1 and P2 atoms, with values of -0.019(1) and 0.023(1)Å, respectively. The r.m.s. deviation of the N₃P₃ ring (0.014 Å) is less than that found in both compound (5) (0.0353 Å) and guest-free TNDOCTP (Kubono, Asaka, Isoda, Kobayashi & Taga, 1994). The naphthalene group is approximately planar [maximum deviation for atom C6 is 0.010(3)Å] and lies nearly perpendicular to the N₃P₃ group [89.2 (1)°]. The five-membered spirocycle is slightly bent at the O atoms, as seen from the torsion angles P1-O1-C2-C3-1.9 (3) and P1-O2-C3-C2 1.4 (3)°. As a consequence, there is some diversity in the C-C bond



Fig. 3. The molecular structure of compound (2) showing the labeling scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Fig. 4. Drawing of the packing of (2) showing the $H \cdots F$ interactions within the cell.

496 parameters

+ 1.3332P]

where $P = (F_{\rho}^2 + 2F_{c}^2)/3$

lengths in the naphthalene ring, *i.e.* C1–C2 1.346(4) and C1-C9 1.429 (4) Å. Both the magnitude of ring bending and the bond-length diversity, however, are lower than in TNDOCTP, where the torsion angles vary from 8.4 (6) to 18.6 (6) $^{\circ}$ and the naphthalene bond lengths vary from 1.320(8) to 1.448(9) Å (Kubono, Asaka, Isoda, Kobayashi & Taga, 1994). The p-fluorophenoxy rings are stacked in a more ordered manner compared with compound (1), and the relative dihedral angles between the mean planes of the N₃P₃ ring and the aryl groups are 47.5(1) for C11–C16 and $106.6(1)^{\circ}$ for C17-C22 (bonded to P2), and 108.3 (1) for C23-C28 and 58.6(1)° for C29-C34 (bonded to P3). The p-fluoroaryloxy rings are twisted with respect to one another, with an angle of $74.9(1)^{\circ}$ for those on atom P2 and 94.0(1)° for those on atom P3. Two long-range intermolecular interactions, *i.e.* H15···F32ⁱⁱ of 2.39(5) and H16...F20ⁱⁱⁱ of 2.46(4) Å [symmetry codes: (ii) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, $-\frac{1}{2} + y$, z; (iii) 2 - x, -y, 1 - z], are observed in the crystal packing of compound (2) leading to a three-dimensional network (Fig. 4).

Experimental

Compounds (1) and (2) were prepared by the reaction of $N_3P_3F_4X_2$ (X = 1,2-O₂C₆H₄ and 2,3-O₂C₁₀H₆, respectively) with a slight excess of p-FC₆H₄OSiMe₃ in tetrahydrofuran at \sim 353 K using CsF as catalyst. Removal of the solvent and excess siloxane at \sim 373 K/0.03 Torr overnight, followed by extraction in chloroform and recrystallization from a chloroform/cyclohexane mixture afforded the desired products. The EI/MS analyses of compounds (1) and (2) show the M^+ peaks as the highest peaks with m/e values of 687 and 737, with intensities of 41 and 47%, respectively. The base peak corresponds to the $(M-OC_6H_4F)^+$ fragment. The multinuclear NMR spectral data are described elsewhere (Vij, Geib, Kirchmeier & Shreeve, 1996).

Compound (1) Crystal data

 ω scans

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.53^{\circ}$

Absorption correction: semi-empirical (Siemens, 1995 <i>a</i>) $T_{min} = 0.8434$, $T_{max} =$ 0.9803 8172 measured reflections 5588 independent reflections 4645 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$h = -14 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -16 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 16$ Crystal decay from 50 frames of reflection data monitored at the start and end of data collection intensity decay: none
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.001$
R(F) = 0.0454	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.254 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.1157$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.338 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.037	Extinction correction:
5587 reflections	SHELXL93 (Sheldrick

SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993) Extinction coefficient: H atoms obtained from difference map and all 0.0067 (8) parameters refined, C-H Atomic scattering factors 0.90 (3)-0.99 (3) Å from International Tables $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0472P)^2]$ for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and

6.1.1.4

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\check{A}^2) for (1)

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	v	z	U_{eo}
P1	0.01642 (6)	0.72563 (6)	0.50207 (5)	0.0290 (2)
P2	0.05103 (6)	0.51369 (6)	0.71788 (5)	0.0266 (2)
P3	0.18942 (6)	0.67331 (6)	0.64997 (6)	0.0283 (2)
F10	-0.4912(2)	0.8531 (2)	0.9499 (2)	0.0542 (5)
F16	0.5815(2)	0.1253 (2)	0.5353 (2)	0.0673 (6)
F22	-0.3020(2)	0.9950 (2)	0.9119 (2)	0.0621 (5)
F28	0.7379 (2)	0.3040 (2)	0.9135 (2)	0.0882 (8)
01	-0.1230(2)	0.8461 (2)	0.48146 (15)	0.0351 (4)
02	0.0471 (2)	0.7337 (2)	0.36878 (15)	0.0343 (4)
O3	-0.0619 (2)	0.4958 (2)	0.8213 (2)	0.0332 (4)
04	0.1156 (2)	0.3660(2)	0.74237 (15)	0.0309 (4)
O5	0.1748 (2)	0.7627 (2)	0.7111 (2)	0.0328 (4)
O6	0.3425 (2)	0.6286 (2)	0.6145 (2)	0.0335 (4)
N1	-0.0074 (2)	0.5982 (2)	0.5920(2)	0.0311 (5)
N2	0.1469 (2)	0.5567 (2)	0.7441 (2)	0.0287 (5)
N3	0.1211 (2)	0.7571 (2)	0.5293 (2)	0.0346 (5)
C1	-0.1462 (2)	0.9057 (2)	0.3618 (2)	0.0284 (5)
C2	-0.0486 (2)	0.8423 (2)	0.2975 (2)	0.0277 (5)
C3	-0.0484 (3)	0.8861 (3)	0.1769 (2)	0.0334 (6)
C4	-0.1546 (3)	0.9970 (3)	0.1239 (2)	0.0371 (6)
C5	-0.2535 (3)	1.0592 (3)	0.1892 (2)	0.0369 (6)
C6	-0.2521 (3)	1.0144 (2)	0.3112 (2)	0.0324 (6)
C7	-0.1680 (2)	0.5949 (2)	0.8477 (2)	0.0287 (5)
C8	-0.2518 (3)	0.6977 (3)	0.7636(2)	0.0368 (6)
C9	-0.3610 (3)	0.7858 (3)	0.7984 (3)	0.0410(7)
C10	-0.3836 (3)	0.7671 (3)	0.9150 (3)	0.0363 (6)
C11	-0.3013 (3)	0.6650(3)	0.9998 (3)	0.0372 (6)
C12	-0.1910 (3)	0.5785 (3)	0.9649 (2)	0.0333 (6)
C13	0.2369 (2)	0.3122 (2)	0.6854 (2)	0.0282 (5)
C14	0.3362 (3)	0.2152 (3)	0.7564 (2)	0.0343 (6)
C15	0.4538 (3)	0.1526 (3)	0.7057 (3)	0.0409 (7)
C16	0.4670 (3)	0.1890 (3)	0.5857 (3)	0.0436(7)
C17	0.3694 (3)	0.2858 (3)	0.5134 (3)	0.0442 (7)
C18	0.2508 (3)	0.3492 (3)	0.5636(2)	0.0348 (6)
C19	0.0510(2)	0.8212 (2)	0.7618 (2)	0.0297 (5)
C20	-0.0438 (3)	0.9259 (3)	0.6894 (2)	0.0341 (6)
C21	-0.1640 (3)	0.9859 (3)	0.7403 (3)	0.0408 (7)
C22	-0.1839 (3)	0.9373 (3)	0.8615 (3)	0.0403 (7)
C23	-0.0904 (3)	0.8344 (3)	0.9345 (3)	0.0407 (7)
C24	0.0298 (3)	0.7758 (3)	0.8835(2)	0.0357 (6)

C25	0.4389 (2)	0.5440 (2	.) 0.6966 (2)	0.0326 (6)	S = 1.08	5		Extinction correct	tion:
C26	0.4583 (3)	0.5816 (3	0.7738(3) 0.8473(3)	0.0390 (6)	4601 ref	lections		SHELXL93 (Sh	eldrick,
C28	0.6379 (3)	0.3846 (3	0.8403(3)	0.0554 (9)	540 para	meters		1993)	
C29	0.6205 (4)	0.3460 (3	0.7644 (4)	0.0638 (10)	H atoms	obtained from	m	Extinction coeffic	ient:
C30	0.5185 (3)	0.4276 (3	6) 0.6905 (3)	0.0507 (8)	differe	ence map and	all	0.00093 (10)	
	• • •	, .			param	eters refined,	C—H	Atomic scattering	factors
Table	2. Selected	l geometric	c parameters (A,	, °) for (1)	0.83 (3	3)–0.97 (4) Å		from Internatio	nal Tables
P1—N3		1.576 (2)	F22—C22	1.365 (3)	w = 1/[o	$r^2(F_o^2) + (0.02)$	$(283P)^2$	for Crystallogr	aphy (1992,
PI—NI		1.577 (2)	F28—C28	1.371 (3)	+ 5	.2062 <i>P</i>]		Vol. C, Tables	4.2.6.8 and
PI		1.614 (2)	01 - 01	1.400 (3)	where	$P = (F_o^2 + Z)$	$2F_{c}^{2})/3$	6.1.1.4)	
P2		1.582 (2)	02	1.411 (3)		-			
P2—O4		1.584 (2)	O4-C13	1.412 (3)	Table 3	. Fractional	l atomic c	coordinates and	equivalent
P203		1.585 (2)	O5-C19	1.412 (3)	ic	stronic disnl	acomont n	arameters (\AA^2) t	for(2)
P2—N1		1.593 (2)	O6C25	1.414 (3)	130	mopic displ	ucement p	urumeters (11)j	07 (2)
P3-00 P3-N3		1.582 (2)	$C_1 = C_2$	1.379 (3)		U_{eq} :	$= (1/3)\Sigma_i\Sigma_i$	$_{i}U_{ij}a_{i}^{*}a_{i}^{*}\mathbf{a}_{i}.\mathbf{a}_{i}.$	
P3—N2		1.588 (2)	C2-C3	1.378 (3)					11
P3—O5		1.591 (2)	C3—C4	1.398 (4)	PI	ي 0.68951 (11)	0.09392.0	3) 0 66268 (3)	0.0355(2)
F10-C10		1.368 (3)	C4C5	1.385 (4)	P2	0.70453 (10)	0.00391 (3) 0.61651 (3)	0.0348 (2)
F16C16		1.368 (3)	C5-C6	1.397 (4)	P3	0.41897 (10)	0.06074 (3) 0.61425 (3)	0.0340 (2)
N3—P1—N	11	117.91 (11)	N3—P3—N2	117.53 (11)	F14	0.4853 (4)	-0.22961 (8) 0.58888 (9)	0.0975 (9)
N3-P1-C)2	109.61 (11)	06P305 N3 P3 05	99.94 (10)	F20	0.8657 (2)	0.12434 (8) 0.43545 (6) 8) 0.76060 (7)	0.0624 (6)
N3-P1-0)2)1	110.30(11)	N2-P3-05	109.51 (10)	F32	0.1733(3) 0.5403(3)	0 27617 (7) 0.51783(8)	0.0829 (8)
NI-PI-C	D1	109.35 (11)	C1-01-P1	109.65 (15)	01	0.6931 (3)	0.09828 (7) 0.71649 (6)	0.0374 (5)
O2P1C	D1	96.68 (9)	C2-02-P1	109.72 (15)	02	0.7954 (3)	0.14391 (8) 0.65252 (7)	0.0414 (6)
N2P2	04	110.94 (10)	C7—O3—P2	126.0 (2)	03	0.7214 (3)	-0.04681 (8) 0.64346 (7)	0.0396 (6)
N2—P2—C	03	111.51 (11)	C13-04-P2	122.9 (2)	04	0.8192 (2)	-0.00898 ((7) 0.57479 (7) (7) 0.62286 (7)	0.0370 (5)
N2_P2_N	J3 V1	93.34 (9)	C19-05-P3	124 1 (2)	05	0.2466 (2)	0.04397((3) $(0.03380(7))(3)$ $(0.57181(7))$	0.0378 (5)
04P2N	NI	111.19 (10)	P1	122.76 (13)	N1	0.7859(3)	0.04614 (9) 0.64668 (8)	0.0378 (7)
O3-P2-N	11	111.16(10)	P2N2-P3	122.97 (13)	N2	0.5224 (3)	0.01311 (9) 0.59959 (8)	0.0336 (6)
O6—P3N	N3	106.03 (11)	P1N3P3	121.73 (14)	N3	0.5071 (3)	0.10015 (9) 0.64569 (8)	0.0358 (7)
O6—P3—N	N2	112.04 (10)			CI	0.7975 (4)	0.15804 ((12) 0.77200 (11)	0.0363 (8)
Company					C2 C3	0.7750(4)	0.14206 ((11) 0.72907 (10) (12) 0.69267 (10)	0.0320(8) 0.0352(8)
Compou					C4	0.9207 (4)	0.21205 (12) 0.69680 (12)	0.0395 (9)
Crystal d	lata				C5	1.0356 (5)	0.27588 (13) 0.7486 (2)	0.0503 (10)
C34H22F4	N3O6P3		Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	n	C6	1.0607 (5)	0.2931 (2	.) 0.7909 (2)	0.0578 (11)
$M_r = 737$	7.46		$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$		C7	0.9981 (5)	0.26717((15) $(0.8276(2))$	0.0569(11) 0.0473(10)
Orthorho	mbic		Cell parameters	from 214	60	0.9133(3) 0.8853(4)	0.22331 ((14) $0.82109(13)(12)$ $0.77840(11)$	0.0376 (8)
Pbca			reflections		C10	0.9470 (4)	0.23048 ((11) 0.74083 (11)	0.0378 (8)
$a = 8.13^{\circ}$	25 (1) Å		$\theta = 1.53 - 23.23^{\circ}$	0	C11	0.6575 (4)	-0.09300 ((12) 0.62758 (10)	0.0361 (8)
b = 26.5	942 (3) Å		$\mu = 0.259 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	1	C12	0.5105 (5)	-0.10931 ((14) 0.64404 (13)	0.0469 (10)
c = 20.5	727(5)Å		T = 203 (2) K		C13	0.4514 (5)	-0.1557 (2	(14) 0.63052(15)	0.0581(11)
V = 6460	$\lambda_{2}^{(2)} \lambda_{3}^{(3)}$		Plate		C14 C15	0.3429(0) 0.6886(7)	-0.1677 (2	(14) 0.5851(2) 0.5851(2)	0.0724 (13)
7 - 8	5.0 (2) M		$0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0$	015 mm	C16	0.7466 (6)	-0.12135 ((15) 0.59832 (14)	0.0611 (11)
L = 0 D = 15	16 Ma m-	3	Colorless	0.15 1111	C17	0.8314 (4)	0.02547 ((12) 0.53918 (10)	0.0345 (8)
$D_x = 1.5$	no wig ill		Coloness		C18	0.9433 (4)	0.06434 ((13) 0.54166(12) 0.50(00(12))	0.0407 (9)
D_m not n	neasureu				C19 C20	0.9559 (4)	0.09729 ((14) 0.50609 $(12)(13)$ 0.46992 (11)	0.0429(9) 0.0434(9)
Data col	lection				C20 C21	0.7458 (4)	0.05201 ((14) 0.46676 (12)	0.0453 (9)
Duiu con			D 0.0502		C22	0.7333 (4)	0.01881 ((14) 0.50197 (11)	0.0409 (9)
Siemens	CCD diffra	actometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0593$		C23	0.2335 (4)	0.00610 ((12) 0.66690 (10)	0.0349 (8)
ω scans			$\theta_{\rm max} = 23.23^{\circ}$		C24	0.3034 (4)	0.01212 ((13) 0.70839 (11) (14) 0.74041 (12)	0.0403(9)
Absorpti	on correction	on:	$h = -9 \rightarrow 8$		C25 C26	0.2836(3)	-0.02302 ((14) 0.74041 $(12)(13)$ 0.72928 (12)	0.0472(9)
semi-e	empirical (S	iemens,	$k = -24 \rightarrow 29$		C27	0.1204 (5)	-0.07262 ((15) 0.68845 (13)	0.0492 (10)
1995a)		$l = -32 \rightarrow 33$		C28	0.1410 (4)	-0.03563 ((13) 0.65648 (12)	0.0411 (9)
$T_{\min} =$	0.8597, <i>T</i>	max =	Crystal decay fr	om 50	C29	0.3986 (4)	0.13696 ((12) 0.55889 (10)	0.0352 (8)
0.9757	7		frames of refl	ection	C30	0.2937 (5)	0.17652 ((14) 0.56415 (12)	0.0478(10)
23340 m	easured ref	lections	data monitore	ed at the	C32	0.3438(0) 0.4944(5)	0.22904 ((13) 0.53090(13) (13) 0.53198(13)	0.0534 (10)
4602 ind	lependent re	eflections	start and end	of data	C33	0.6012 (6)	0.1905 (2	2) 0.5271 (2)	0.0683 (13)
3449 obs	served refle	ctions	collection		C34	0.5506 (5)	0.1434 (2	2) 0.54093 (14)	0.0566(11)
[I > 2]	$l\sigma(I)$]		intensity deca	iy: none				. 0	
					Table	e 4. Selected	geometric	c parameters (A,	~) for (2)
Refineme	ent				P1N1		1.567 (3)	O2—C3	1.404 (3)
Refinem	ent on F^2		$(\Lambda/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.00$	01	P1—N3		1.576 (3)	03-C11	1.415 (4)
R(F) = 0	0468		$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.220$	e Å ⁻³	P1-01		1.612 (2)	04017 05023	1.407 (4) 1.414 (3)
$mR(F^2) = 0$	- 0 1125		$\Delta \rho_{max} = -0.280$	5 e Å ⁻³	P202		1.577 (2)	05-025	1.408 (3)
wit(1,) =	- 0.1143		$-p_{min} = -0.200$. 2-05				

P2—N2	1.584 (3)	C1-C2	1.346 (4)
P2N1	1.585 (3)	C1C9	1.429 (4)
P204	1.594 (2)	C2—C3	1.397 (4)
P3—N3	1.579 (3)	C3—C4	1.356 (4)
P3—N2	1.582 (3)	C4C10	1.420 (4)
P3—O5	1.584 (2)	C5—C6	1.360 (5)
P3—06	1.587 (2)	C5-C10	1.425 (4)
F14—C14	1.367 (4)	C6C7	1.392 (6)
F20-C20	1.365 (4)	C7—C8	1.362 (5)
F26C26	1.363 (3)	C8—C9	1.412 (5)
F32-C32	1.374 (4)	C9C10	1.416 (4)
O1—C2	1.401 (3)		
NI—PI—N3	117.22 (14)	N2—P3—O5	110.36 (12)
N1—P1—O1	110.70(13)	N3-P3-O6	109.58 (12)
N3-P1-01	109.30(13)	N2—P3—O6	110.75 (13)
N1—P1—O2	110.12 (13)	O5—P3—O6	95.38 (11)
N3—P1—O2	110.80(13)	C2-01P1	110.4 (2)
01—P1—02	96.82(11)	C3-02-P1	110.3 (2)
O3—P2—N2	112.11 (13)	C11-O3-P2	122.6 (2)
O3—P2—N1	106.24 (13)	C17—O4—P2	119.5 (2)
N2-P2-N1	117.53 (13)	C23—O5—P3	121.7 (2)
O3-P2-O4	99.46(11)	C29O6P3	122.0 (2)
N2-P2-04	109.33 (12)	P1-N1-P2	122.6 (2)
N1-P2-04	110.66(13)	P3—N2—P2	122.2 (2)
N3—P3—N2	117.02 (14)	P1—N3—P3	123.3 (2)
N3—P3—O5	111.64 (13)		

The diffraction data were collected on a Siemens threecircle diffractometer (χ axis fixed at 54.74°) equipped with a CCD detector maintained at 218.6 K, using the ω -scan technique (Campana, Shepard & Litchman, 1980). The initial cell constants were determined from reflection data obtained by collecting 60×10 s frames at a detector resolution of 512 \times 512 pixels. The final unit-cell values, however, are based on the least-squares refinement of all the observed reflections [4645 for (1) and 3449 for (2)]. A complete hemisphere of data was scanned on ω (0.3°), with a run time of 10 s frames for a total of 1271 frames collected in three sets plus a final set of 50 frames using the SMART Software (Siemens, 1995c). The crystal decay was monitored through reflection data from 50 frames collected at the beginning and at the end of data collection. The data reduction was accomplished by processing the frames data using SAINT Software (Siemens, 1995a) to give the raw file corrected for Lp and decay. Since the raw file contains information about directional cosines, it was used for empirical absorption correction. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990) in SHELXTL-Plus (Siemens, 1995b) and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods using SHELXTL-Plus and SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). H atoms were located from difference Fourier syntheses and refined with isotropic displacement parameters. All computations were performed on a Silicon Graphics workstation.

The authors are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-9003509) for support of this research. The single crystal CCD X-ray facility at the University of Idaho was established with the assistance of NSF-Idaho EPSCoR program under NSF OSR-9350539 and the M. J. Murdock Charitable Trust, Vancouver, Washington, USA.

References

- Allcock, H. R. (1984). Inclusion Compounds, Vol. 1, edited by J. L. Atwood, J. E. D. Davies & D. D. MacNicol, pp. 351-374. London: Academic Press.
- Allcock, H. R. & Kugel, R. L. (1966). Inorg. Chem. 5, 1016-1020.
- Allcock, H. R., Levine, M. L. & Whittle, R. R. (1986). Inorg. Chem. 25, 41-47.
- Allcock, H. R. & Stein, M. T. (1974). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 49-52.
- Campana, C. F., Shepard, D. F. & Litchman, W. M. (1980). Inorg. Chem. 20, 4039–4043.
- Chandrasekhar, V., Murlidhara, M. G. R. & Selvraj, I. I. (1990). *Heterocycles*, **31**, 2231-2266, and references therein.
- Kubono, K., Asaka, N., Isoda, S., Kobayashi, T. & Taga, T. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 404-406.
- Kubono, K., Asaka, N., Isoda, S., Kobayashi, T. & Taga, T. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 324–326.
- Kubono, K., Asaka, N., Taga, T., Isoda, S. & Kobayashi, T. (1994). J. Mater. Chem. 4, 291-297.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995a). SAINT Software. Version 4.035. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1995b). SHELXTL-Plus. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1995c). SMART Software. Version 4.043. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Vij, A., Geib, S., Kirchmeier, R. L. & Shreeve, J. M. (1996). Inorg. Chem. 35, 2915–2929.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2520-2524

Multiple Hydrogen Bonds in Pyridine-2,6dimethanol and Benzene-1,3,5-trimethanol

WILLIAM BELL,^{*a*} PAMELA I. COUPAR,^{*a*} GEORGE FERGUSON^{*b*} AND CHRISTOPHER GLIDEWELL^{*a**}

^aSchool of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, Scotland, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1. E-mail: cg@st-andrews.ac.uk

(Received 28 March 1996; accepted 8 May 1996)

Abstract

Pyridine-2,6-dimethanol, $C_7H_9NO_2$, forms a chain structure in which the molecules are linked by O—H···O hydrogen bonds into dimeric units lying across centres of inversion, these dimers being linked by O— H···N hydrogen bonds into chains around other centres of inversion. In the structure of benzene-1,3,5-trimethanol, $C_9H_{12}O_3$, the molecules form a continuous three-dimensional network in which each molecule is hydrogen-bonded to six others.

2520

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry, and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1172). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.